

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

10 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

11 Plaintiff,

12 v.

13 LADEL BAPTISTE HARRISON,

14 Defendant.

NO. CR-03-0016-RHW

**ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT'S  
MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION**

15 Before the Court is Defendant's Motion to Alter Judgment, which the Court will  
16 construe to be a motion for reconsideration under Rule 59. (ECF No. 236). The motion fails  
17 to present any new evidence, identify a change in controlling law, or identify any clear  
18 error in this Court's order. As such, Defendant's motion fails to satisfy any of the factors  
19 necessary for reconsideration as set forth in the case law. *See Nunes v. Ashcroft*, 375 F.3d  
20 805, 808 (9th Cir. 2004).

21 Accordingly, **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:**22 1. Defendant's Motion for Reconsideration (ECF No. 236) is **DENIED**.23 **IT IS SO ORDERED.** The District Court Executive is hereby directed to enter this  
24 order and to furnish a copy to counsel and Defendant.25 **DATED** this 20<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2011.26  
27 s/Robert H. Whaley  
28 **ROBERT H. WHALEY**  
United States District Judge